# COT 5405: Advanced Algorithms <br> Fall 2011 

## Assignment 1

## Due: 5pm, 25 Oct 2011

1. ( 20 points) Give the dual of the following linear program.

Minimize $2 \mathrm{x}_{1}-4 \mathrm{x}_{2}$
Subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \geq 4 \\
& 2 x_{1}-x_{2} \geq 6 \\
& 4 x_{1}-2 x_{2} \geq-2 \\
& -3 x_{1}-5 x_{2} \geq-3 \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Answer:
maximize $4 y_{1}+6 y_{2}-2 y_{3}-3 y_{4}$
Subject to:
$3 \mathrm{y}_{1}+2 \mathrm{y}_{2}+4 \mathrm{y}_{3}-3 \mathrm{y}_{4} \leq 2$
$2 \mathrm{y}_{1}-\mathrm{y}_{2}-2 \mathrm{y}_{3}-5 \mathrm{y}_{4} \leq-4$
$\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{3}, \mathrm{y}_{4} \geq 0$
2. (20 points) Given the following instance of Knapsack: profits (4, 20, 12, 12, 2), sizes ( 2 , $7,4,4,1$ ), and capacity 9 , find a factor $1 / 2$ approximation yielded by the FTPAS we discussed in class. Show all the steps in the algorithm.

Answer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=0.5 * 20 / 5=2 \\
& \mathrm{p}^{\prime}=(2,10,6,6,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | $\infty$ | 2 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | $\infty$ | 2 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 7 | $\infty$ | 9 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0 | $\infty$ | 2 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 4 | $\infty$ | 6 | $\infty$ | 7 | $\infty$ | 9 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 11 | $\infty$ | 13 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | $\infty$ | 2 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 4 | $\infty$ | 6 | $\infty$ | 7 | $\infty$ | 8 | $\infty$ | 10 | $\infty$ | 11 | $\infty$ | 13 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 15 | $\infty$ | 17 | $\infty$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |

The solution corresponds to profit' $=14$ with elements $\{3,4,5\}$ with actual profit 26.
3. (20 points) Given the following instance of set cover: sets $\{a, b\},\{a, c, d\},\{b, d e\}$, and $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{e}\}$, with costs $2,4,3$, and 3 respectively, find the solution using the primal-dual
algorithm discussed in class. Pick the $y$ s in alphabetical order. Show all the steps in the algorithm.

Answer:

$$
S^{\prime}=\{ \}, C^{\prime}=\{ \}
$$

Step 1:
$\mathrm{x}_{1}=0$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}=2$
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=0$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{d}}=4$
$\mathrm{x}_{3}=0$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}=3$
$x_{4}=0$ or $y_{a}+y_{b}+y_{e}=3$
$y_{a}=2 . S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}\right\}, C^{\prime}=\{a, b\}$
Step 2:
$\mathrm{x}_{2}=0$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{d}}=2$
$x_{3}=0$ or $y_{d}+y_{e}=3$
$x_{4}=0$ or $y_{e}=1$
$y_{c}=2 . S^{\prime}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}, C^{\prime}=\{a, b, c, d\}$
Step 3:
$\mathrm{x}_{3}=0$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}=3$
$x_{4}=0$ or $y_{e}=1$
$\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}}=1 . \mathrm{S}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathrm{s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{4}\right\}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}$
Cost $=9$
4. (20 points) Formulate the following Minimum Edge Dominating Set problem as an integer linear program, and also give its relaxation. Minimum Edge Dominating Set: Given a graph $G=(V, E)$, find a subset of edges, $E^{\prime}$, of smallest cardinality, such that if $e_{1} \in E-E^{\prime}$, then there is an $\mathrm{e}_{2} \in E^{\prime}$ such that $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{2}$ are adjacent.

Answer:

## ILP

Minimize $\sum_{\text {e } \in E} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$
Subject to:
$\sum_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \in E: \text { ene } \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \neq \varnothing} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \geq 1 \forall \mathrm{Ee} \in \mathrm{E}$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}} \in\{0,1\} \forall \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{E}$

## Relaxation

Minimize $\sum_{\text {e } \in E} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}}$
Subject to:
$\sum_{\text {e' }^{\prime} \in E: \text { ene }} \neq \varnothing \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \geq 1 \forall \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{E}$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}} \geq 0 \forall \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{E}$
5. (20 points) Show that the following approximation algorithm for set cover has an approximation factor of $|U|$, and show that this bound is tight. Note: In this problem, we define the cost of a set as the sum of the cost of each of its elements.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C:=\{ \} \\
& \text { while } C \neq U \\
& \quad \text { Let } s \text { be a set of smallest cost which contains some uncovered element } \\
& \quad C:=C \cup s
\end{aligned}
$$

Output the sets picked

Answer:
OPT $\geq \sum_{e \in U} c_{e}$, where $c_{e}$ is the cost of element e.
The approximation algorithm picks at most IUI sets and each has cost at most $\sum_{\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{U}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Therefore APPROX $\leq \operatorname{IUI}$ OPT

Tightness: Let $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$ with costs $\{\mathrm{K}, 1\}$ and the sets be $\{\mathrm{a}\}$, and $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$. The algorithm will first choose the first set and then the second, with total cost $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$, while the optimum is to choose the second set with total cost K. As K approaches infinity, APPROX/OPT approaches 2 , which is IUI.

